

A REMARK ON THE DIMENSION OF THE BERGMAN SPACE OF SOME HARTOGS DOMAINS

PIOTR JUCHA

ABSTRACT. Let D be a Hartogs domain of the form $D = \{(z, w) \in \mathbb{C} \times \mathbb{C}^N : \|w\| < e^{-u(z)}\}$ where u is a subharmonic function on $\mathbb{C}$. We prove that the Bergman space $L_h^2(D)$ of holomorphic and square integrable functions on D is either trivial or infinite dimensional.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let $L_h^2(\Omega)$ denote the Bergman space of a domain $\Omega \subset \mathbb{C}^N$, i.e. the space of square integrable and holomorphic functions on Ω .

We are interested in the following open question (see e.g. [Jar-Pfl], [Pfl-Zwo]): *is there a pseudoconvex domain with finite dimensional and nontrivial Bergman space?*

J. Wiegerinck (cf. [Wie]) gave examples of Reinhardt domains in $\mathbb{C}^2$ such that their Bergman spaces were finite dimensional but nontrivial. Those domains, however, are not pseudoconvex. What is more, there exists a simple geometric characterization of pseudoconvex Reinhardt domains: if a logarithmic image of such a domain contains a real affine line then its Bergman space is $\{0\}$, otherwise it is infinite dimensional (cf. [Zwo 1, Zwo 2]).

It is known that a Bergman space for any subdomain of $\mathbb{C}$ is also either infinite dimensional or trivial (cf. [Skw], [Wie]).

We consider Hartogs domains D_φ of the form

$$D_\varphi = D_\varphi(G) = \{(z, w) \in G \times \mathbb{C}^N : \|w\| < e^{-\varphi(z)}\} \subset \mathbb{C}^M \times \mathbb{C}^N,$$

where G is a domain in $\mathbb{C}^M$, $\varphi \in \text{PSH}(G)$ and $\|\cdot\|$ denotes the maximum norm. We use the maximum norm for convenience but the same results hold for any $\mathbb{C}$ -norm. (If $\tilde{D}_\varphi$ is such a domain defined for other $\mathbb{C}$ -norm then one just needs to take $D_{\varphi+c_1} \subset \tilde{D}_\varphi \subset D_{\varphi+c_2}$ for suitable constants c_1, c_2 .)

We believe that the answer for this question is negative, at least for Hartogs domains. Even though in this paper we are dealing with domains with one dimensional basis, we think that the main idea (cf. Proposition 3.3) and some techniques of the proofs could also be used at least in some multi-dimensional cases with the help of advanced pluripotential theory.

Date: April 8, 2009.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification. 32A36, 32A07, 31A05.

Key words and phrases. Bergman spaces, Hartogs domains, subharmonic functions.

The work is supported by the research grant No. N N201 361436 of the Polish Ministry of Science and Higher Education.

The main result of the paper is Theorem 4.1, which states that $L_h^2(D_\varphi(\mathbb{C}))$ is either trivial or infinite dimensional. More precisely, necessary and sufficient conditions on φ are given for $\dim L_h^2(D_\varphi(\mathbb{C})) = \infty$ and for $\dim L_h^2(D_\varphi(\mathbb{C})) = 0$.

The method used provided supplementary results: $\dim L_h^2(D_\varphi(G)) = \infty$ if $G \subset \mathbb{C}^M$ is bounded (Corollary 3.2) or $G \subset \mathbb{C}$ has nonpolar complement (cf. Corollary 3.5).

In accordance with a basic result for Hartogs domains (Lemma 1.1), to determine the dimension of the Bergman space we may consider only the square integrable holomorphic functions on D_φ of the form $f(z)w^n$ where $f \in \mathcal{O}(G)$. Therefore, our strategy in the sequel is to find infinitely many such functions (for different $n \in \mathbb{Z}_+^N$) or, respectively, prove that none of them exists except the zero function.

Lemma 1.1. *Let $D \subset G \times \mathbb{C}^N$ be a Hartogs domain over $G \subset \mathbb{C}^M$ with complete N -circled fibers.*

(a) (cf. [Jak–Jar]) *If $f \in \mathcal{O}(D)$, then there exist $f_n \in \mathcal{O}(\mathbb{C})$, $n \in \mathbb{Z}_+^N$, such that*

$$(1.1) \quad f(z, w) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}_+^N} f_n(z)w^n, \quad (z, w) \in D,$$

and the series is locally uniformly convergent.

(b) *If $f \in L_h^2(D)$, then $f_n(z)w^n \in L_h^2(D)$ for $n \in \mathbb{Z}_+^N$ and the series (1.1) is convergent in $L_h^2(D)$.*

Proof of Lemma 1.1 (b). Let $(K_j)_{j \geq 1}$ be a sequence of compact subsets of G such that it exhausts G , $K_j \subset \text{int } K_{j+1}$, and let $L_j := K_j \times \mathbb{D}(0, j)^N \cap D$. Then $\text{int } L_j$ are Hartogs domains with N -circled fibers that exhaust D .

The functions $f_n(z)w^n$ are pairwise orthogonal on $\text{int } L_j$ and the series (1.1) is convergent in $L_h^2(\text{int } L_j)$. Therefore, we have for any $j \geq 1$

$$\int_D |f|^2 d\lambda^{2(M+N)} \geq \int_{L_j} |f|^2 d\lambda^{2(M+N)} = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}_+^N} \int_{L_j} |f_n(z)w^n|^2 d\lambda^{2(M+N)}(z, w),$$

where $\lambda^{2(M+N)}$ denotes $2(M+N)$ -dimensional Lebesgue measure. Taking $j \rightarrow \infty$, we finish the proof. $\square$

The proofs rely heavily on the properties of subharmonic functions and their singularities, and we use advanced L^2 -extension techniques. We remark, nevertheless, that in some cases (e.g. when $G = \mathbb{C}$ and φ has logarithmic growth) one can explicitly find (infinitely many) functions $f_n(z)w^n$ or, respectively, prove that no such function other than zero exists. These functions f_n are simply polynomials with zeroes and degrees determined by Corollary 2.4 (cf. Proposition 4.5).

2. SINGULARITIES OF SUBHARMONIC FUNCTIONS

For a function u subharmonic in a neighborhood of $a \in \mathbb{C}$ put

$$m(u, a, r) := \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} u(a + re^{it}) dt,$$

$$M(u, a, r) := \max_{|z|=r} u(z).$$

We define the Lelong number of u at a as

$$\nu(u, a) := \lim_{r \rightarrow 0} \frac{M(u, a, r)}{\log r}.$$

It is well known (see e.g. [Ran]) that

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow 0} \frac{M(u, a, r)}{\log r} = \lim_{r \rightarrow 0} \frac{m(u, a, r)}{\log r} = \frac{1}{2\pi} \Delta u(\{a\}) \in [0, +\infty),$$

where $\frac{1}{2\pi} \Delta u$ denotes the Riesz measure of the function u .

Proposition 2.1. *Let u be a subharmonic function on a domain $D \subset \mathbb{C}$. Then for every $\delta > 0$ the set*

$$\{z \in D : \nu(u, z) \geq \delta\}$$

is finite.

Proof. The Riesz measure $\frac{1}{2\pi} \Delta u$ is finite on every compact set $K \subset D$. Therefore, a set $K \cap \{z \in D : \nu(u, z) \geq \delta\}$ must be finite. $\square$

Recall the definition of the integrability index of u at a

$$\iota(u, a) := \inf I_{u,a},$$

where $I_{u,a} := \{t > 0 : e^{-\frac{2u}{t}} \text{ is integrable in some neighborhood of } a\}$.

It is clear that $e^{-\frac{2u}{s}}$ is integrable for every $s > \iota(u, a)$, so $I_{u,a}$ is an interval.

The equality of the Lelong number and the integrability index for subharmonic functions is a classical result. However, we did not find any direct reference and we give the proof for the sake of completeness.

Proposition 2.2. *Let u be a subharmonic function on a neighborhood of $a \in \mathbb{C}$. Then*

$$\iota(u, a) = \nu(u, a).$$

Moreover, e^{-2u} is integrable in a neighborhood of a if and only if $\nu(u, a) < 1$.

Proof. Let us assume that $a = 0$ and u is subharmonic on a neighborhood of the closure of the disc $\mathbb{D}(r) = \{|\zeta| < r\}$ with $0 < r < \frac{1}{2}$. Then u can be decomposed (cf. Theorem 3.7.9 in [Ran]) as

$$u(z) = h(z) + \int_{\mathbb{D}(r)} \log |z - \zeta| d\mu(\zeta), \quad z \in \mathbb{D}(r),$$

where h is a bounded harmonic function on $\mathbb{D}$ and $\mu = \frac{1}{2\pi} \Delta u|_{\mathbb{D}(r)}$. Therefore, there exists a constant $C > 0$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} u(z) &\leq C + \int_{\mathbb{D}(r) \cap \{|z - \zeta| \leq |z|\}} \log |z - \zeta| d\mu(\zeta) \\ &\leq C + \mu(\mathbb{D}(r) \cap \{|z - \zeta| \leq |z|\}) \log |z| \leq C + \nu(u, 0) \log |z|. \end{aligned}$$

For this reason, if $e^{-\frac{2u}{t}}$ is integrable in a neighborhood of 0 then $t > \nu(u, 0)$, which yields inequality $\nu(u, 0) \leq \iota(u, 0)$.

To prove the other inequality, take numbers $t > \nu(u, 0)$ and $r < \frac{1}{2}$ so small that $\mu(\mathbb{D}(r)) < t$. By the Jensen inequality we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} \exp\left(-\frac{2u(z)}{t}\right) &\leq C' \exp\left(-\frac{2\mu(\mathbb{D}(r))}{t} \int_{\mathbb{D}(r)} \log |z - \zeta| \frac{d\mu(\zeta)}{\mu(\mathbb{D}(r))}\right) \\ &\leq C'' \int_{\mathbb{D}(r)} |z - \zeta|^{-\frac{2\mu(\mathbb{D}(r))}{t}} d\mu(\zeta), \end{aligned}$$

for some constants $C', C'' > 0$. We have then

$$\int_{\mathbb{D}(r)} e^{-\frac{2u}{t}} d\lambda^2 \leq C'' \int_{\mathbb{D}(r)} \left(\int_{\mathbb{D}(r)} |z - \zeta|^{-\frac{2\mu(\mathbb{D}(r))}{t}} d\lambda^2(z) \right) d\mu(\zeta) < +\infty,$$

and therefore, $t > \iota(u, 0)$. This finishes the proof. $\square$

Both Proposition 2.1 and Proposition 2.2 have their highly nontrivial multidimensional counterparts by, respectively, Y.-T. Siu and H. Skoda (for references and discussion on singularities of plurisubharmonic functions see e.g. [Kis 1, Kis 2]).

Proposition 2.3.

- (a) Let $u \in \text{SH}(\mathbb{D}_*)$ be such that $A = \liminf_{r \rightarrow 0} \frac{M(u, 0, r)}{\log r} > -\infty$. Then
 - (i) $A < +\infty$ and $u_0(z) := u(z) - A \log |z|$ is subharmonic on $\mathbb{D}$;
 - (ii) e^{-2u} is integrable in a neighborhood of 0 if and only if $A < 1$.
- (b) Let $u \in \text{SH}(\mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{D})$ be such that $\limsup_{|z| \rightarrow \infty} \frac{u(z)}{\log |z|} = B$.
 - (i) If $B < +\infty$, then $C := \limsup_{|z| \rightarrow \infty} u(z) - B \log |z| < +\infty$.
 - (ii) If $B < +\infty$, then e^{-2u} is integrable in a neighborhood of ∞ if and only if $B > 1$.
 - (iii) If $\lim_{|z| \rightarrow \infty} \frac{u(z)}{\log |z|} = +\infty$ then $e^{-\frac{2u}{t}}$ is integrable in a neighborhood of ∞ for every $t > 0$.

Proof. (a) First, observe that if $A > 0$, then u extends to a subharmonic function on $\mathbb{D}$ as a nonpositive function in a neighborhood of 0. Therefore, $A = \nu(u, a) < +\infty$.

For any $\varepsilon > 0$ define the function

$$u_\varepsilon(z) := u(z) - A \log |z| + \varepsilon \log |z|, \quad z \in \mathbb{D}_*.$$

Notice that $u_\varepsilon(z) \leq \frac{1}{2}\varepsilon \log |z|$ near 0, and hence, it can be extended to a subharmonic function on $\mathbb{D}$. The functions u_ε are uniformly bounded from above in a neighborhood of 0 because for every $\varepsilon > 0$ we have

$$u_\varepsilon(z) < M(u, 0, \frac{1}{2}) + A \log 2, \quad |z| = \frac{1}{2}.$$

Therefore, a function $u_0(z) = \lim_{\varepsilon} u_\varepsilon(z)$, $0 < |z| < 1$, is also bounded from above near 0 and is subharmonic on $\mathbb{D}$.

Statement (ii) follows from Proposition 2.2 if $A \geq 0$.

If $A < 0$ then we apply the same argument to the subharmonic function $u(z) - A \log |z|$ to obtain that $e^{-2u(z)}|z|^{2A}$, and hence also e^{-2u} , is integrable around 0.

(b) Notice that $\limsup_{|z| \rightarrow \infty} \frac{u(z)}{\log |z|} = \limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{M(u, 0, r)}{\log r}$, and therefore, $\tilde{u}(z) := u(\frac{1}{z})$ satisfies (a) with $A = -B$ provided that $B < +\infty$. Then the function $\tilde{u}(z) + B \log |z|$ is subharmonic on $\mathbb{D}$ and C is its value at 0.

If $\lim_{|z| \rightarrow \infty} \frac{u(z)}{\log |z|} = +\infty$ then $e^{-u(z)} < \frac{1}{|z|^B}$ for every $B > 0$ and sufficiently large $|z|$. $\square$

Corollary 2.4. Let $k \in \mathbb{Z}$.

- (a) Let u be a subharmonic function in a neighborhood of a point a . Then $|z - a|^{2k} e^{-2u(z)}$ is integrable in a neighborhood of a if and only if

$$k > \nu(u, a) - 1.$$

- (b) Let u be a subharmonic function on $\{|z| > R\}$ for some $R > 0$ such that either $\limsup_{|z| \rightarrow \infty} \frac{u(z)}{\log|z|} = B < +\infty$ or $\lim_{|z| \rightarrow \infty} \frac{u(z)}{\log|z|} = B = +\infty$. Then $|z|^{2k} e^{-2u(z)}$ is integrable in some neighborhood of ∞ if and only if

$$k < B - 1.$$

Proof. Apply Proposition 2.3 to the function $u - k \log|z|$. $\square$

3. L^2 -TOOLS

3.1. Hörmander–Bombieri–Skoda theorem. The main tool we are going to use in the sequel is Proposition 3.3 which follows from theorem of Skoda (a stronger version of Theorem 4.4.4 in [Hör]).

Theorem 3.1 ([Sko]). *Let u be a plurisubharmonic function in a pseudoconvex domain $G \subset \mathbb{C}^M$. If e^{-2u} is integrable in a neighborhood of a point $z_0 \in G$, then for any $\varepsilon > 0$ one can find an analytic function f in G such that $f(z_0) = 1$ and*

$$(3.1) \quad \int_G \frac{|f(z)|^2}{(1 + |z|^2)^{M+\varepsilon}} e^{-2u(z)} d\lambda^{2M}(z) < \infty.$$

An immediate consequence of that theorem, even in its weaker version with the exponent $3M$ instead of $M + \varepsilon$, is the following.

Corollary 3.2. *Let $G \subset \mathbb{C}^M$ be a bounded pseudoconvex domain and $\varphi \in \text{PSH}(G)$. Then $\dim L_h^2(D_\varphi) = \infty$.*

Proof. It is enough to find for every $n \in \mathbb{Z}_+^N$ a function $f_n \in \mathcal{O}(G)$ not identically equal to 0 and such that $f_n(z)w^n \in L_h^2(D_\varphi)$. Then the sequence $(f_n(z)w^n)_n$ is a set of infinitely many linearly independent elements of $L_h^2(D_\varphi)$. Fix $n \in \mathbb{Z}_+^N$ and apply Theorem 3.1 to the function $u = (N + |n|)\varphi$. Then there is a function $f_n \in \mathcal{O}(G)$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{D_\varphi} |f_n(z)|^2 |w^n|^2 d\lambda^{2(M+N)}(z, w) \\ & < C \int_G \frac{|f_n(z)|^2}{(1 + |z|^2)^{3M}} e^{-2(N+|n|)\varphi(z)} d\lambda^{2M}(z) < +\infty, \end{aligned}$$

where the constant C depends on M, N, n , and G . $\square$

Proposition 3.3. *Let v be a subharmonic function on a domain $G \subset \mathbb{C}$. Suppose that there exists a compact subset $K \subset\subset G$ and a function $u \in \text{SH}(G)$, $u \not\equiv -\infty$, such that for some $\varepsilon > 0$ and $C \in \mathbb{R}$*

$$(3.2) \quad u(z) + (1 + \varepsilon) \log^+ |z| \leq C + v(z), \quad z \in G \setminus K,$$

$$(3.3) \quad \nu(u, z) \geq [\nu(v, z)], \quad z \in K.$$

Then there exists $f \in \mathcal{O}(G)$, $f \not\equiv 0$, such that

$$\int_G |f|^2 e^{-2v} d\lambda^2 < +\infty.$$

Here, $[x]$ denotes the largest integer not greater than $x \in \mathbb{R}$.

Proof. We apply Theorem 3.1 to the function u and get the function $f \in \mathcal{O}(G)$ not identically equal to 0, which satisfies (3.1). Due to condition (3.2), we get that

$$\int_{G \setminus K} |f|^2 e^{-2v} d\lambda^2 \leq \tilde{C} \int_{G \setminus K} \frac{|f(z)|^2}{(1 + |z|^2)^{1+\varepsilon}} e^{-2u(z)} d\lambda^2(z) < +\infty,$$

for some constant $\tilde{C}$.

The function $|f|^2 e^{-2u}$ is integrable in a neighborhood of any point $z_0 \in K$, and in virtue of (3.3) and Corollary 2.4 the function $|f|^2 e^{-2v}$ is integrable in some, possibly smaller, neighborhood of z_0 . Using the compactness argument we get the integrability of $|f|^2 e^{-2v}$ on K , which finishes the proof. $\square$

3.2. Ohsawa–Takegoshi extension theorem. We shall use the Ohsawa–Takegoshi theorem to prove that the space $L_h^2(D_\varphi)$ (for $D_\varphi \subset G \times \mathbb{C}^N$) is infinite dimensional provided that the base domain $G \subset \mathbb{C}$ has nonpolar complement. We quote the theorem in simple setting with zero weights but with D possibly unbounded.

Theorem 3.4. (cf. [Ohs], [Din]) *Let $(z_0, w_0) \in D \subset G \times \mathbb{C}^N$ where $G \subset \mathbb{C}$ is a domain with nonpolar complement. Then there exists a constant $C > 0$ depending only on the domain G such that for any $f \in L_h^2(D \cap (\{z_0\} \times \mathbb{C}^N))$ we can find $F \in L_h^2(D)$ with $F(z_0, \cdot) = f$ and*

$$\int_D |F|^2 d\lambda^{2N+2} \leq C \int_{D \cap (\{z_0\} \times \mathbb{C}^N)} |f|^2 d\lambda^{2N}.$$

Corollary 3.5. *If $G \subset \mathbb{C}$ has nonpolar complement and $\varphi \in \text{SH}(G)$, $\varphi \not\equiv -\infty$, then $\dim L_h^2(D_\varphi) = \infty$.*

Proof. It suffices to take $z_0 \in G$ such that $\varphi(z_0) > -\infty$. Then $D_\varphi \cap \{z_0\} \times \mathbb{C}^N$ has infinite dimensional Bergman space for it is bounded, and hence, we get the conclusion. $\square$

We remark that there is another consequence of Theorem 3.4 in [Din] determining the dimension of the Bergman space for some pseudoconvex domains in $\mathbb{C}^2$. In particular, it applies to a Hartogs domain $D_\varphi \subset G \times \mathbb{C}$, in the case when either $\mathbb{C} \setminus G$ is nonpolar or φ is bounded from below on G .

4. HARTOGS DOMAINS WITH ONE DIMENSIONAL BASES

We use the following notation: $[x]$ is the integral part of a number $x \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\{x\} := x - [x]$ is the fractional part of x .

Let $G \subset \mathbb{C}$ be a domain and $\varphi \in \text{SH}(G)$. In virtue of Proposition 2.1, the set $\{a \in G : \nu(\varphi, a) > 0\}$ is at most countable. For that reason we may decompose $\Delta\varphi$ as

$$(4.1) \quad \Delta\varphi = \sum_{j \geq 1} \alpha_j \delta_{a_j} + \mu,$$

where δ_{a_j} are the Dirac measures at some (distinct) points $a_j \in G$, $\alpha_j = \nu(\varphi, a_j) > 0$, and μ is a nonnegative measure equal zero on countable sets.

For such a decomposition consider the following condition on weights α_j :

$$(4.2) \quad \begin{aligned} &\exists j_1 \neq j_2 : \{\alpha_{j_1}\}, \{\alpha_{j_2}\}, \{\alpha_{j_1} + \alpha_{j_2}\} > 0 \\ &\text{or } \exists j_1 < j_2 < j_3 : \{\alpha_{j_1}\}, \{\alpha_{j_2}\}, \{\alpha_{j_3}\}, \{\alpha_{j_1} + \alpha_{j_2} + \alpha_{j_3}\} > 0. \end{aligned}$$

In other words, condition (4.2) says that there exist at least two non-integral weights such that their sum is not an integer. In fact, the only case when we need more than two weights in the statement of this condition is $\alpha_{j_1} = \alpha_{j_2} = \alpha_{j_3} = \frac{1}{2}$.

Theorem 4.1. *Let $\varphi \in \text{SH}(\mathbb{C})$ and suppose that we have decomposition (4.1) for φ . If $\mu \not\equiv 0$ or condition (4.2) is satisfied, then $\dim L_h^2(D_\varphi) = \infty$. Otherwise, $L_h^2(D_\varphi) = \{0\}$.*

Proof. To prove the first part of the theorem it suffices to collect the results from Proposition 4.2 and Proposition 4.3 below.

Suppose that $\mu \equiv 0$ and condition (4.2) does not hold, i.e. $\Delta\varphi = \sum_{j \geq 1} \alpha_j \delta_{a_j}$ and at most two of numbers α_j , say α_1, α_2 , are not natural. Take any $F \in L_h^2(D_\varphi)$. Without loss of generality we may assume that $F(z, w) = f(z)w^n$ for some $n \in \mathbb{Z}_+^N$. Thus,

$$(4.3) \quad \int_{\mathbb{C}} |f|^2 e^{-2\psi} d\lambda^2 < +\infty,$$

where $\psi = (N + |n|)\varphi$. There exists $g \in \mathcal{O}(\mathbb{C})$ such that

$$\psi(z) = \log |g(z)| + \alpha'_1 \log |z - a_1| + \alpha'_2 \log |z - a_2|, \quad z \in G,$$

with $\alpha'_j = \{(N + |n|)\alpha_j\}$, $j = 1, 2$, and g having zeroes at a_j of order $[(N + |n|)\alpha_j]$ for $j \geq 1$.

Therefore, f must have zeroes at these points of at least the same order (cf. Corollary 2.4) and $h := \frac{f}{g}$ extends to an entire holomorphic function. It follows from (4.3) that

$$\int_{|z| > R} |h(z)|^2 |z|^{-2(\alpha'_1 + \alpha'_2)} d\lambda^2(z) < +\infty.$$

Expanding h in a Taylor series and using Corollary 2.4 with $u = (\alpha'_1 + \alpha'_2) \log |z|$ (recall that $\alpha'_1 + \alpha'_2 \leq 1$) we obtain that $\lim_{|z| \rightarrow \infty} |h(z)| = 0$. Thus, both h and f must be identically equal to zero, and in consequence, $L_h^2(D_\varphi) = \{0\}$. $\square$

Proposition 4.2. *Let a domain $G \subset \mathbb{C}$ and a function $\varphi \in \text{SH}(G)$ be such that there exist $z_j \in G$ with $\alpha_j := \nu(\varphi, z_j)$, $j = 1, 2, 3$ satisfying*

$$\{\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \alpha_3\}, \{\alpha_1\}, \{\alpha_2\} > 0, \alpha_3 \geq 0.$$

Then $\dim L_h^2(D_\varphi) = \infty$.

Proof. By Lemma 4.4 there exist infinitely many $k \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\sum_{j=1}^3 \{k\alpha_j\} > 1$. Fix a multi-index $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $N + |n| = k$ for some $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\varepsilon > 0$ so small that

$$\sum_{j=1}^3 \{(N + |n|)\alpha_j\} > 1 + \varepsilon.$$

Define

$$u(z) := (N + |n|)\varphi(z) - \sum_{j=1}^3 \{(N + |n|)\alpha_j\} \log |z - z_j|,$$

which is a subharmonic function on G . Moreover, there exist $\delta > 0$ and $C > 0$ such that

$$u(z) + (1 + \varepsilon) \log^+ |z| \leq (N + |n|)\varphi(z) + C, \quad z \in G \setminus \bigcup_{j=1}^3 \mathbb{D}(z_j, \delta),$$

and $\nu(u, z) \geq [\nu((N+|n|)\varphi, z)]$ for $|z - z_j| < \delta$. Therefore, in view of Proposition 3.3 there exists $f_n \in \mathcal{O}(G)$ not identically equal to zero such that

$$\int_{D_\varphi} |f_n(z)w^n|^2 d\lambda^{2(1+N)}(z, w) = C_1 \int_G |f_n|^2 e^{-2(N+|n|)\varphi} d\lambda^2 < +\infty.$$

A sequence $f_n(z)w^n$, for suitable multi-indices n , is an infinite set of linearly independent elements of $L_h^2(D_\varphi)$. $\square$

Proposition 4.3. *Let a domain $G \subset \mathbb{C}$ and a function $\varphi \in \text{SH}(G)$ be such that there exists a disc $\mathbb{D}(z_0, \delta) \subset\subset G$ with the following property*

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta\varphi &\not\equiv 0 \text{ on } \mathbb{D}(z_0, \delta), \\ \nu(\varphi, z) &= 0, \quad z \in \mathbb{D}(z_0, \delta). \end{aligned}$$

Then $\dim L_h^2(D_\varphi) = \infty$.

Proof. We may assume that $\varphi(z_0) > -\infty$ and φ is bounded on $\partial\mathbb{D}(z_0, \tilde{\delta})$ for some $0 < \tilde{\delta} \leq \delta$. Indeed, since the set $\{\varphi < \varphi(z_0) - 1\}$ is thin at z_0 , it cannot intersect all the circles centered at z_0 (see the proof of Thm. 3.8.3 in [Ran]). To simplify notation we also assume that $\tilde{\delta} = 1$ and $z_0 = 0$.

There exist (cf. Thm. 4.5.4, [Ran]) a harmonic function h and a subharmonic function p on $\mathbb{D}$ such that $\varphi = h + p$ on $\mathbb{D}$, $h = \varphi$ a.e. on $\partial\mathbb{D}$ and $\limsup_{z \rightarrow \partial\mathbb{D}} p(z) = 0$. The assumption of the proposition provides that $p(0) < 0$. Thus, there exist numbers $\delta' \in (0, 1)$ and $A > 0$ such that $p \leq -3A$ on $\mathbb{D}(0, \delta')$. Define

$$\tilde{p}(z) := \begin{cases} \frac{A}{-\log \delta'} \log |z| - A, & \text{if } |z| \leq \delta', \\ \max\left(\frac{A}{-\log \delta'} \log |z| - A, \hat{p}(z)\right), & \text{if } \delta' < |z| < 1, \end{cases}$$

where $\hat{p}(z) := M(p, 0, z)$. Certainly, $\tilde{p}$ is subharmonic on $\mathbb{D}$ and equals 0 on $\partial\mathbb{D}$. Let

$$\tilde{\varphi} := \begin{cases} \varphi & \text{on } G \setminus \mathbb{D}, \\ \tilde{p} + h & \text{on } \mathbb{D}. \end{cases}$$

We claim that $\tilde{\varphi}$ is subharmonic on G . In fact, it suffices to show that $\tilde{\varphi}$ is upper semicontinuous on $\partial\mathbb{D}$. Note that the following harmonic modification of φ :

$$\psi = \begin{cases} \varphi & \text{on } G \setminus \mathbb{D}, \\ h & \text{on } \mathbb{D} \end{cases}$$

is subharmonic on G (if $(\varphi_j)_{j \geq 1}$ is a decreasing sequence of continuous subharmonic functions tending to φ on G then ψ is the limit of their harmonic modification). The inequality $\tilde{\varphi} \leq \psi$ yields the upper semicontinuity of $\tilde{\varphi}$.

One can see that $\nu(\tilde{\varphi}, z) = 0$ for $z \in \mathbb{D} \setminus \{0\}$ and $\nu(\tilde{\varphi}, 0) > 0$.

Let $n \in \mathbb{Z}_+^N$ be such that $(N+|n|)\nu(\tilde{\varphi}, 0) > 1$. Then we can apply Proposition 3.3 to $v = (N+|n|)\varphi$ and $u(z) = (N+|n|)\tilde{\varphi}(z) - (N+|n|)\log |z|$. (Observe that $u \in \text{SH}(\mathbb{C})$ because of Proposition 2.3.) Therefore, similarly as in Proposition 4.2 we obtain an infinite sequence of linearly independent members of $L_h^2(D_\varphi)$. $\square$

Lemma 4.4. *Let $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3$ be real numbers such that $0 \leq \alpha_3 \leq \alpha_2 \leq \alpha_1 < 1$. If any of the following conditions is satisfied*

- (a) $\alpha_2 = \alpha_3 = 0$,
- (b) $\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 = 1, \alpha_3 = 0$,

then

$$\sum_{j=1}^3 \{k\alpha_j\} \leq 1 \text{ for all } k \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Otherwise

$$(4.4) \quad \sum_{j=1}^3 \{k\alpha_j\} > 1 \text{ for infinitely many } k \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Proof. Suppose that condition (b) holds (case (a) is trivial). Then for any $k \in \mathbb{N}$ we have

$$\{k\alpha_1\} + \{k\alpha_2\} = \{k\alpha_1\} + \{k - k\alpha_1\} = \{k\alpha_1\} + \{-k\alpha_1\} \leq 1.$$

To prove the other part of the statement we examine several cases. Note that if all α_j 's are positive and not all of them equal $\frac{1}{2}$, then we may assume (in Cases 2–5) that

$$\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \{\alpha_1 + \alpha_2\} > 0.$$

Moreover, we do not require $\alpha_1 \geq \alpha_2$.

Case 1. $\alpha_1 = \alpha_2 = \alpha_3 = \frac{1}{2}$. For any odd k we have

$$\{k\alpha_1\} + \{k\alpha_2\} + \{k\alpha_3\} = \frac{3}{2}.$$

Case 2. $\alpha_1, \alpha_2 \in \mathbb{Q}$.

There are $p_1, p_2, q \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\alpha_j = \frac{p_j}{q}$, $j = 1, 2$. If $p_1 + p_2 < q$, take $k := lq - 1$ for any $l \in \mathbb{N}$ and notice that

$$\{k\alpha_1\} + \{k\alpha_2\} = \left\{ -\frac{p_1}{q} \right\} + \left\{ -\frac{p_2}{q} \right\} = 1 - \frac{p_1}{q} + 1 - \frac{p_2}{q} > 1.$$

If $p_1 + p_2 > q$, the inequality holds for $k := lq + 1$, $l \in \mathbb{N}$.

Case 3. $\alpha_1 \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \mathbb{Q}$, $\alpha_2 \in \mathbb{Q}$.

Let $p, q \in \mathbb{Q}$ be such that $\alpha_2 = \frac{p}{q}$. By the Kronecker theorem (see e.g. [Apo]), the set $\{(lq + 1)\alpha_1 : l \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ is dense in $(0, 1)$, and therefore, we can find infinitely many $k \in \mathbb{N}$ of the form $k = lq + 1$ such that $\{k\alpha_1\} > 1 - \frac{p}{q}$. Thus, for such numbers k we have

$$\{k\alpha_1\} + \{k\alpha_2\} > 1 - \frac{p}{q} + \left\{ (lq + 1)\frac{p}{q} \right\} = 1.$$

Case 4. $\alpha_1, \alpha_2 \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \mathbb{Q}$ and $1, \alpha_1, \alpha_2$ are linearly independent over $\mathbb{Z}$ (i.e. if $s_1\alpha_1 + s_2\alpha_2 \in \mathbb{Z}$ for some $s_1, s_2 \in \mathbb{Z}$, then $s_1 = s_2 = 0$).

By the two-dimensional Kronecker theorem (cf. [Apo]) the set $\{(\{k\alpha_1\}, \{k\alpha_2\}) : k \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ is dense in $(0, 1)^2$. Therefore, there are infinitely many $k \in \mathbb{N}$ satisfying $\{k\alpha_j\} > \frac{1}{2}$, $j = 1, 2$. For such k we have $\{k\alpha_1\} + \{k\alpha_2\} > 1$.

Case 5. $\alpha_1, \alpha_2 \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \mathbb{Q}$ and $1, \alpha_1, \alpha_2$ are linearly dependent over $\mathbb{Z}$.

Let $p, q, r \in \mathbb{Z}$ be such that $p\alpha_1 + q\alpha_2 = r$.

If $pq > 0$, $p \neq q$, we may assume that $0 < p < q$ and take any $l \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\{l\alpha_1\} < \frac{1}{pq}$ (there is infinitely many such $l \in \mathbb{N}$ by the Kronecker theorem). Then we have $\{pl\alpha_1\} < \{ql\alpha_1\}$, and consequently, for $k = ql$,

$$\{k\alpha_1\} + \{k\alpha_2\} = \{ql\alpha_1\} + \{-pl\alpha_1\} = \{ql\alpha_1\} + 1 - \{pl\alpha_1\} > 1.$$

Suppose that $p = q > 0$. We may find, again by the Kronecker theorem, infinitely many $l \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\left\{\frac{r}{p}\right\} < \{(pl+1)\alpha_1\} < \left\{\frac{r}{p}\right\} + \frac{1}{p}$. Therefore, if $k = pl+1$,

$$\{k\alpha_1\} + \{k\alpha_2\} = \{(pl+1)\alpha_1\} + \left\{\frac{r}{p} - (pl+1)\alpha_1\right\} > \left\{\frac{r}{p}\right\} + \frac{p-1}{p} > 1.$$

Finally, if $pq < 0$, assume that $0 < -q < p$. There are infinitely many $l \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\{l\alpha_2\} \in (\frac{1}{p+1}, \frac{1}{p})$. For $k = pl$ we get

$$\{k\alpha_1\} + \{k\alpha_2\} = \{-ql\alpha_2\} + \{pl\alpha_2\} > \frac{-q}{p+1} + \frac{p}{p+1} \geq 1.$$

This finishes the proof. $\square$

There are some special cases when we can avoid using advanced L^2 -techniques to prove Theorem 4.1 and, moreover, describe the space $L_h^2(D_\varphi)$. This is, for instance, when φ is a subharmonic function of logarithmic growth on $\mathbb{C}$.

Proposition 4.5. *Let $\varphi \in \text{SH}(\mathbb{C})$ be such that $\limsup_{|z| \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\varphi(z)}{\log|z|} = \gamma$ for some $\gamma \geq 0$ and suppose that $\Delta\varphi$ has decomposition (4.1). Let $n \in \mathbb{Z}_+^N$. Then the following conditions are equivalent:*

- (a) *there exists $f_n \in \mathcal{O}(\mathbb{C})$, $f_n \neq 0$, such that $f_n(z)w^n \in L_h^2(D_\varphi)$;*
- (b) $\sum_{j \geq 1} [(N + |n|)\alpha_j] < (N + |n|)\gamma - 1$.

Moreover, if such a function f_n exists, it is a polynomial of degree smaller than $(N + |n|)\gamma - 1$.

Proof. (a) $\implies$ (b)

Due to Proposition 2.3, we have $\varphi(z) \leq \gamma \log^+ |z| + C$ for $z \in \mathbb{C}$ and some constant $C > 0$. Therefore, it is clear that

$$\tilde{D} := \{(z, w) \in \mathbb{C}^{1+N} : \|w\| < e^{-C} \min(1, |z|^{-\gamma})\} \subset D_\varphi.$$

The domain $\tilde{D}$ is complete Reinhardt, hence we have the expansion $f_n(z)w^n = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_k z^k w^n$. What is more, the monomials $a_k z^k w^n$ are pairwise orthogonal members of $L_h^2(\tilde{D})$. It follows from Corollary 2.4 applied to $u = (N + |n|)\gamma \log|z|$ that $a_k = 0$ if $k \geq (N + |n|)\gamma - 1$.

On the other hand, the finiteness of the integrals

$$\int_{D_\varphi} |f_n(z)|^2 |w|^{2n} d\lambda^{2(1+N)}(z, w) = C_n \int_{\mathbb{C}} |f_n(z)|^2 e^{-2(N+|n|)\varphi(z)} d\lambda^2(z)$$

implies, again by Corollary 2.4, that f_n must have zeroes at points a_j of multiplicity at least $[(N + |n|)\alpha_j]$. The sum of these multiplicities does not exceed the degree of the polynomial f_n .

(b) $\implies$ (a)

Denote $k_j := [(N + |n|)\alpha_j]$ and let $l \in \mathbb{N}$ be such that

$$\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} [(N + |n|)\alpha_j] = \sum_{j=1}^l k_j < (N + |n|)\gamma - 1.$$

Put

$$f_n(z) := \prod_{j=1}^l (z - a_j)^{k_j}, \quad z \in \mathbb{C},$$

and use Corollary 2.4 to verify that $f_n(z)w^n \in L_h^2(D_\varphi)$. $\square$

Remark 4.6. If φ is as in Proposition 4.5 then we have the well known fact: $\sum_{j \geq 1} \alpha_j \leq \Delta\varphi(\mathbb{C}) \leq \gamma$. Moreover, if $\sum_{j \geq 1} \alpha_j = \gamma$ then inequality (b) is equivalent to $\sum_{j \geq 1} \{\alpha_j\} > 1$. Thus, Proposition 4.5 and Lemma 4.4 give another proof of Theorem 4.1 in this special case.

Remark 4.7. The results of this paper (Theorem 4.1, Proposition 4.2, Proposition 4.3, and Corollary 3.5) do not yet give full answer to the problem of the dimension $L_h^2(D_\varphi)$ of a Hartogs domain D_φ with one dimensional basis. The case where the basis G has polar complement and the function $\varphi \in \text{SH}(G)$ is harmonic remains unsolved.

The starting point of the proof in this setting may be the following. Suppose that $L_h^2(D_\varphi)$ is not trivial, then there exists $f \in \mathcal{O}(G)$ not identically equal to 0 and such that $\int_G |f|^2 e^{-2\varphi} d\lambda^2 < +\infty$. Define a function $h := \varphi - \log |z|$, which is harmonic on $G \setminus f^{-1}(0)$, and moreover, e^{-2h} is integrable.

If $\mathbb{C} \setminus G$ is finite or if h can be decomposed as a difference of two functions subharmonic on $\mathbb{C}$, the proof follows the same line as in this paper. However, in general setting better understanding of singularities of harmonic functions is needed.

Acknowledgements. The author would like to thank to his professors, M. Jarnicki and W. Zwonek, for helpful discussions and remarks.

REFERENCES

- [Apo] T. M. Apostol, *Modular functions and Dirichlet Series in Number Theory*, Springer-Verlag, 1976.
- [Din] Ż. Dinew, *The Ohsawa–Takegoshi extension theorem on some unbounded sets*, Nagoya Math. J. 188 (2007), 19–30.
- [Hör] L. Hörmander, *An Introduction to Complex Analysis in Several Variables*, North Holland, 1990.
- [Jak–Jar] P. Jakóbczak, M. Jarnicki, *Lectures of holomorphic functions of several complex variables*, <http://www.im.uj.edu.pl/MarekJarnicki/>.
- [Jar–Pfl] M. Jarnicki, P. Pflug, *Invariant Distances and Metrics in Complex Analysis*, de Gruyter Exp. Math. 9, de Gruyter, Berlin, 1993.
- [Kis 1] C. Kiselman, *Densité des fonctions plurisousharmoniques*, Bull. Soc. Math. France 107 (1979), 295–304.
- [Kis 2] C. Kiselman, *Attenuating the singularities of plurisubharmonic functions*, Ann. Pol. Math. 60 no. 2 (1994), 173–197.
- [Ohs] T. Ohsawa, *On the extension of L^2 holomorphic functions III: negligible weights*, Math. Z., 219(1995), 145–148.
- [Pfl–Zwo] P. Pflug, W. Zwonek, *L_h^2 -domains of holomorphy in the class of unbounded Hartogs domains*, Illinois J. Math. 51 (2007), no. 2, 617–624.
- [Ran] T. Ransford, *Potential Theory in the Complex Plane*, Cambridge University Press, 1995.
- [Sko] H. Skoda, *Estimations L^2 pour l'opérateur $\bar{\partial}$ et applications arithmétique*, Lect. Notes in Math. 578 (1977), 314–323.
- [Skw] M. Skwarczyński, *Evaluation functionals on spaces of square integrable holomorphic functions*, Prace Matematyczno–Fizyczne, M. Skwarczyński, W. Wasilewski editors, Wyższa Szkoła Inżynierska w Radomiu, Radom, 1982.
- [Wie] J. Wiegerinck, *Domains with finite dimensional Bergman space*, Math. Zeitschrift 187 (1984), 559–562.
- [Zwo 1] W. Zwonek, *On Bergman completeness of pseudoconvex Reinhardt domains*, Ann. Fac. Sci. Toulouse, VI. Sér. Math. 8 (1999), 537–552 (no. 3).
- [Zwo 2] W. Zwonek, *Completeness, Reinhardt domains and the method of complex geodesics in the theory of invariant functions*, Dissertationes Math. 388 (2000).

INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS, JAGIELLONIAN UNIVERSITY, ŁOJASIEWICZA 6, 30-348 KRAKÓW,
POLAND

E-mail address: `Piotr.Jucha@im.uj.edu.pl`